

MEETING DATE	5 September 2018			
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	2017SWC138	2-16	YOUNG	B. CARLING FORD
		· / /	Journa	9 0,000

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perceived \square conflict of interest as detailed below:
Mren 5/9/18
Signature Date
Name Lea BRENAM

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	5 September 2018
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	2017SWC138

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest 💵
an actual \Box , potential \Box or reasonably perceived \Box conflict of interest as detailed below:
MM 5-9-18
Signature Date

Name MARY- LYNAE TAYLOR

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	5 September 2018
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	2017SWC138

In re	lation to this matt	er, I declare that I hav	re:	
I	no known conflict of interest			
	an actual¹ □, pot pelow:	ential² □ or reasonab	ly perceived³ □ conflict o	f interest as detailed
5				
-				
=				
=				
-		4		
_	Jun 1	Pa dy	5-19/18	41
Sign	ature	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Date	

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

SAMEER PANDEY

Name

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	5 September 2018	
Agenda Item/Panel reference number	2017SWC138	

an actual ¹ potential ² or reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest as detailed below:	no known conflict	
		ential ² or reasonably perceived ³ conflict of interest as detailed
Palmin 5/9/18	Palani	5/9/18

Name Paul MitchM

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.